

PATENT APPLICATION

In Re the Application of:

DUPRAY et al.

Serial No.: 09/770,838

Filed: January 26, 2001

Atty. File No.: 1003-1

For: "A GATEWAY AND HYBRID
SOLUTIONS FOR WIRELESS
LOCATION"

Prior Group Art Unit: 3662

Prior Examiner: Dao Phan

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S REASONS
FOR ALLOWANCE**

MAIL STOP: Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

Dear Examiner:

Applicant's representative acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance. Applicant notes, however, that such statement of reasons for allowance appears to reflect only some of the patentable features of some of the independent claims. In particular, the Examiner indicated the reasons for allowance are based on the Examiner finding:

"the examiner found no teaching in the prior art that would render obvious the claimed mobile station location system and method for use in a wireless network including the steps of "wherein the first location estimating source employs a first location finding technology, wherein the steps of first and second obtaining includes a step of providing the first and second location inputs in a common standardized format, and third obtaining the requested location information by selectively using portions of the data from the memory", claim 221, "initialing a plurality of requests for information

related to the location of the mobile station M", claim 245, "where the first obtaining steps results from an activation of at least two different wireless location technique, first determining, using the first location information, first output location data according to a first output criteria, second obtaining, in response to a second of the location requests received from a second of the requesting sources, at least second location information of a second location of a second of the mobile stations, and second determining, using the second location information, second output location data according to a second output criteria", claim 247, "providing access to first and second different mobile station location technique, wherein there is at least one predetermined common location related component activated for determining the resulting location information, and providing the resulting location information for each of the first and second mobile stations for presentation", claim 268, "repeatedly performing the following steps (A1) through (A3) for tracking the mobile station as claimed", claim 269, "receiving, from each of at least first and second mobile station location estimators" , claim 276, "providing access to a plurality of mobile station location estimating techniques", claim 278, "determining additional location information of the mobile station", claim 285, "generating one or more messages, for information related to a location of the first mobile station", claim 290, "determining a second location estimate of the mobile station by activating an accessible second of the location techniques, wherein when the mobile is at a first location, an instance of at least the first location estimate is used in obtaining step for obtaining a first corresponding instance of the resulting location information", claim 291, "a gating module for communicating with two or more mobile station location estimating sources for determining corresponding geographic extents for locations of a plurality of mobile stations, and a resulting estimator for determining a likely location estimate of the location L of the mobile station M", claim 292, "wherein for a first of the mobile station location estimating sources, when estimating a location of one of the mobile station, and a resulting estimator for determining a likely location estimate of a location L of the mobile station M", claim 295.

However, it is respectfully submitted that there are believed to be additional reasons for allowance due to, e.g., the amendments to the claims since the Examiner formulated the above reasons for allowance. As such, Applicant's representative hereby states that for all allowed claims whether or not explicitly identified by the Examiner in the Reasons for Allowance, it is believed the Examiner's reasons for allowance is that the features as set forth in such claims define an invention that is free of the prior art and that complies with all 35 U.S.C. §112 requirements.

*Application Serial No.: 09/770,838
Document: "Response to Reasons for Allowance"*

Accordingly, the patentability of each of the pending claims is assumed to be based upon the features as set forth in the pending claims, and that such claims meet all criteria for patentability under 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103 and §112.

Moreover, as is clear from MPEP 1302.14,

"The statement [of reasons for allowance] is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth."

Thus, the Examiner is not required to state all reasons for allowance.

If the Examiner disagrees with any of Applicant's above statements, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned as soon as possible. It will be assumed that Applicant's above statements are accurate and complete otherwise.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Dennis J. Dupray
Dennis J. Dupray
Registration No. 46,299
1801 Belvedere St.
Golden, Colorado 80202-5141
Phone: 303-863-2975

Date: Aug. 23, 2007